# Agriprocessors escapes big fines for violations

INJURIES: Hurt workers common; PENALTIES: State safety regulators company failed to give protective gear regularly reduced fines by 25% to 75%



Wilson Junech, 26, of Postville lost his hand in a workplace accident at Agriprocessors Inc. in August 2005. He was among three workers at the Postville meat-processing plant who lost parts of their hands in machinery during a five-week period in 2005.

## By CLARK KAUFFMAN

© 2008, Des Moines Register and Tribune Company

Carlos Torrez was in the middle of a 60hour workweek at the Agriprocessors Inc. meat-processing plant in Postville when the mechanical saw he was using to separate chicken parts severed one of his fingers.

It was July 2005, and Torrez had been working in the plant for three years. He was 26 years old, had four children at home and had logged 67 hours the week before the accident happened. His take-home pay, even with double-digit hours of overtime, totaled \$509.

Torrez staggered to "the laundry room" - an area where workers went for first-aid. A co-worker retrieved the severed finger from the floor.

Five weeks later, the state investigated the accident. By then, however, two more workers had lost parts of their hands in Agriprocessors' machinery.





Find a list of detainees, see video from the raid and read past

coverage at DesMoinesRegister.com/postvilleraid.

Ultimately, the three amputations cost the nation's largest kosher meatpacking plant \$7,500 in state fines.

Agriprocessors' treatment of its workers - and state regulators' treatment of the company - have been a source of controversy since May 12, when 389 workers were detained by federal agents in the nation's largest immigration raid.

A Des Moines Register review of state records indicates that health and safety violations at Agriprocessors rarely result in large fines, although injuries are commonplace

The records show that:

· Company officials have repeatedly refused

SAFETY, PAGE 6A

# Raid in Postville

The Des Moines Register is presenting a series of reports on the aftermath of the arrests of 389 workers at the Agriprocessors meat-processing plant in Postville. It was the largest single-site workplace raid in U.S.

FRIDAY: Two supervisors at Agriprocessors Inc. in Postville were arrested on allegations that they helped illegal immigrant workers hide behind bogus Social Security and resident alien cards.

SATURDAY: The cost to taxpayers to jail 304 arrested workers in Iowa instead of deporting them is about \$590,000 a month.

TODAY: State records indicate that health and safety violations at Agriprocessors rarely result in substantial penalties, although injuries at the plant are commonplace.

# SAFETY

to let state inspectors inside the plant without first ob-taining a court warrant. In one incident, inspectors had to go to the courthouse in Waukon and obtain the document. By then, it was too late to return and make document. By then, it was too late to return and make the inspection, so the state officials had to book a hotel room and spend the night. They entered the plant the next day, but the delay resulted in company officials getting 24 hours' notice of selected inconstitution.

getting 24 hours notice of a planned inspection.

Lonsigned workplacerepresentation of the planned inspection.

Lonsigned workplacerepresentation of the planned inspection of the 
representation of the 
plant. In one case, inspectors drove to the Postville 
plant. In one case, inspectors drove to the Postville 
plant and were denied 
entry. After realizing the 
complaint was unsigned, 
the inspectors drove back 
to Des Moines without 
entreing the plant.

Fines for workplace 
safety violations in lowa 
are typically cut by 25 percent to 75 percent from the 
amounts first proposed. In

amounts first proposed. In 2005, after the three ampu-2005, after the three ampu-tation injuries at Agripro-cessors, a state worker forgot to call company executives for a scheduled telephone conference about a proposed \$10,000 fine. After a 45-minute delay, the official told company executives their time was worth a reduction in the

executives their time was worth a reduction in the fine, and he cut the penalty to \$7,500.

\* Company executives have failed to give work-ers protective gear to do certain jobs. For years, the plant didn't provide prote-tive clothing to employees who worked with corrosive chemicals. Protective inch. who worked with corrosive chemicals. Protective jack-ets, pants and boots were available, but they were treated by Agriprocessors as personal clothing that had to be purchased from the plant.

Elizabeth Billmeyer, the Elizabeth Billmeyer, the company's human resources manager, declined to discuss plant safety when contacted at home by the Register. "If you'd like to talk with me, you can talk with me at work," she said. Billmeyer did not return several sub-sequent calls to her office. Company executives Sha.

om Rubashkin and Heshy turn a reporter's calls.

Plant has long history of safety violations Three months ago, state officials cited Agriproces-sors for 39 workplace safety violations — an un-

safety violations — an un-usually large number. Federal and state records give conflicting informa-tion on fines against the company, but for the past few years Agriprocessors appears to have compiled one of the worst safety re-cords of any meatpacking plant in lows.

Although detailed work

Although detailed worker-injury reports since 2006 are not publicly available, the Register has reviewed Agripocessors' reports for the three previous years. In 2003, the company reported 85 employee in-juries, including smashed arnkles, lacerated tendons in hands, smashed arms, and amputated fingers. In 2004, the number of injuries jumped 45 per-cent, to 120, with workers being treated for chemical burns to their eyes and feet, third-degree burns, hand lacerations and bro-



Wilson Junech, 26, of Postville lost his hand in an accident while working at the Agriprocessors plant in August 2005. He had been clearing debris from inside a machine when a supervisor unwittingly turned the device on.



Immigration agents raided Agriprocessors Inc. in Post-ville in May, arresting 389 workers. Federal records indi-cate the company chose not to take part in a voluntary government program that enables employers to quickly verify applicants' Social Security numbers.

called "the foot masher."
Witnesses said they heard
Logoz screaming about
5:30 a.m. He had been
clearing debris from insidetions that the had been
clearing debris from insidetions moving the control
control of the device,
control of the device,
control of the device,
respectively.
The device of the device of the
foot masher, maintenance
worker Deon Branish told
officials. "His left hand
was stuck all the way to
the wrist."
Ten days after that in-

was stuck all the way to the wrist."

Ten days after that incident, plant sanitation manager Jeff Bohr was at home when a co-worker called to tell him Eduardo Santos, 25, was in the laundry room with a severe hand injury.

Bohr went to the plant, examined Santos, 7 ight hand, and called an ambulance. Then he looked into the machine Santos had been working on and saw pieces of two work gloves. "There were also pieces of skin and bone," Bohr wrote in his report.

Company records indicate Santos lost two fingers and a thumb. The remainder of his hand was crushed.

Adolfo Lopez, who now goes by the name of Wilson Junech, continued to work at Adriprocessors.

job moving empty boxes in the plant. He worked at the plant until the raid, when he and others hid from Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agents. Now, he said, he's look-ing for work where he can get it.

ing for work where he can get it.
"I don't know what I'm going to do," he said.
The other employees who lost fingers at Agriproces-sors could not be reached

State fights for access, but then reduces fine State inspectors set out from Des Moines to investigate the Torrez case a few days after that third amputation. At the time, inspectors knew nothing of the accidents involving Lopez and Santos.

When they arrived at the Postville plant and asked to be let in, a receptionist told them they needed to make a request 24 hours in advance to get inside. The inspectors told her that if necessary they would get a

ardises, lacerated tendors in hands, smashed arms, and amputated fingers.

In 2004, the number of injuries jumped 45 percent, to 120, with workers being treated for chemical burns to their eyes and feet, third-degree burns, and lacerations and broken ribs.

In 2005, the number of injuries dropped to 103. The same of Wilburns to their eyes and feet, third-degree burns, and lacerations and broken ribs.

In 2005, the number of injuries dropped to 103. The same of Wilinjuries dropped to 104. The same of Wilinjury although the loss the records indicate to work at a Agriprocessors the same of wilinjuries dropped to 104. The same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss to great the same of Wilinjury although the loss the plant's safety consulto freet the same of Wilto Molfo Logac Villey and the p

the three amputations, state inspectors proposed a fine of \$10,000.

A few months later, Jens Nissen, an executive officer with lowa's Occupational Safety and Health Administration, set up on information of the control of the contr Safety and Health Administration, set up an informal telephone conference with company officials to discuss a settlement in the case. Nissen was supposed to call Agriprocessors at 3 p.m., but he forgot. He called at 3:45 p.m. instead. His handwritten notes told what happened next: "They graciously pro-

"They graciously pro-ceeded with the informal

for failing to provide protective jackets and boots to workers who used high-pressure hoses to spray corrosive chemicals and scalding water inside the plant as part of the sanitation process.

During an on-site inspection, an executive asked a state inspector whether she would recommend protective Train suits' for the workers. "Sets' she said.

She asked the company's operations manager and plant engineer whether she would recommend the company and the said. According to the inspector's report, both ens said. Absolutely."

But company records indicate that workers had long been forced to either do without the protective gear or purchase it themselves from the company. And because some workers allegedly had no lockers at the plant, they often took heir chemical-soaked rain suits home with them at the end of their shift. Company Vice President Shalom Rubanshkin, in a September 2000 memo to all employees, included an "equipment," rather than mandatory, company-issued safety equipment. For at least six years, workers were being charged \$30 for the pants and \$30 for the jackets. Boots were \$20.85. At those prices, 100 rain suits would have generated \$8,000 in revenue for the company. By comparison, the state fine for this serious safety violation was \$1,000.

In December 2006, a commission appointed by the United Synagogue of Conservative Judaish found significant health phone conversation."

The next day, the \$10,000 fine was cut by 25 percent to \$7,500.

Asked about that deci-

Asked about that deci-sion, Nissen said: "It was just a matter of quibbling over a couple hundred bucks. That's all."

He said it is not unusual for companies to challenge proposed fines and for the state to settle the matter by reducing the fines in ex-change for the company's promise to do better.

The alternative, he said, is holding a hearing, a pro-cess that can take years to complete with no assurance that OSHA inspectors will prevail.

prevail.

Reducing the size of fines results in speedier compliance, he said, and frees money for companies to spend on safety equipment and training.

and training.

The company has had annual revenue of \$250 million.

annual revenue of \$250 million.

As for Agriprocessors' refusal to let state inspectors inside the plant without a court-issued warrant, Nissens asaid that occurs with other companies, too.

"It's the employer's right to deny us entry," he said. "It's not something that's unusual, but, then again, it's not something that's routine."

Across the nation, manufacturers and meatpackers and safety concerns at the Postville plant, including unsafe chemical use and "inadequate or nonexistent safety training."

unsate chemical use and 

"inadequate or nonexistent 
safety training."

OSHA cited the company for more violations, 
and federal investigators 
launched a wide-ranging 
investigation into allegations of people in the 
United States illegally who 
were hired there, of childlabor law violations and of 
workplace safety issues. 
Court records show that 
in January 2008, federal 
authorities equipped an 
informant with a hiddery 
briefing for new employees. During the briefing, 
employees were allegedly 
told that their pay would 
be docked \$25 per week to 
pay for gowns and gloves 
that they were required to 
wear. 

That informant and 
That informant. Across the nation, manufacturers and meatpackers have had the right to turn away safety inspectors since 1978. That's when the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that inspections without court warrants violated the Fourth amendment rights of companies that are not "closely regulated" by the government. Meatpacking plants are not considered closely regulated.

wear.
That informant, and another, made broader allegations, too. One told authorities a plant superviauthorities a plant supervi-sor had put duct tape over the eyes of a Guatemalan worker and then beat the worker with a meat hook. Another told authorities that some workers were

paid less than minimum wage and were paid in cash. Several informants alleged that the Postville work force was rife with illegal immigrants. In April, Eric Frumin of the Change To Win labor or-ganization testified before a U.S. Senate subcommittee that was investigating

U.S. Senate subcommittee that was investigating workplace safety. He todd senators that the state of the safety and the safety are to the safety and the safety and the safety and the safety safety and the safety regulations that carried potential fines totaling \$182,000. "For perspective," he testified, "in 2007, lowa OSHA issued 19 violations for all meatpacking plants in Lowa, with fines totaling over \$120,000." What Frumin idin't realize was that the lowa OSHA office had already agreed to cut Agriprocessors' fines. The agreement would not be made public for several weeks, but when it was, it showed the state had cut the proposed \$182,000 fines.

the proposed \$182,000 fine to \$42,750.

# Raid shines light on

other probe, warnings On April 11, a week after Frumin testified in Washington, an inform worked at the Postville plant told U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agents that word of the im-

and Customs Entorcement agents that word of the impending immigration raid had leaked. Employees were openly discussing the matter, he said. ICE's plans for a raid put the agency on a collision course with other state and federal officials who were conducting a separate investigation into allegations of child-labor law-foliations of child-labor law-foliations of the control of t was completed.

federal officers descended on Postville. They de-tained more than a third of Agriprocessors' work force

— 389 people, including 12
juveniles — and issued arrest warrants for 307 other
employees.

Company founder Aaron
Rubashkin told the Jewthe Bergers LTA thest

Rubashkin told the Jew-ish news agency JTA that he had no idea his work-ers were not in the U.S. legally.

"People coming there

"People coming there looking for jobs. They bring ID with a photo, with a number," he said. "Nine-teen million illegals here? I don't bring 'em here. I pay taxes, and the government is supposed to control the stuff."

Agriprocessors a statement saying, "Our company takes the immi-gration laws seriously." But federal records in-

not to participate in the government's voluntary program that enables em-ployers to quickly verify the Social Security numbers of job applicants. Court records indicate the Social Security Ad-ministration repeatedly

warned Agriprocessors that hundreds of its employees

hundreds of its employees
— perhaps as much as
78 percent of the work
force—appeared to be using fraudulent Social Security numbers or names.
Between 2002 and 2006,
the company allegedly
received 12 separate, written notices from the Social
Security Administration
highlighting hundreds of discrepancies in Social Security numbers and em-

Security numbers and em-ployee names. No criminal charges have been filed against the plant's owners. But on Thursday, immi-gration officials arrested two Agriprocessors super-visors, Martin De La Rosa-Loera and Juan Carlos Guerrero-Espinoza, for al-legedity aiding and abotties. legedly aiding and abetting the possession and use of fraudulent identification by their workers.